

State-by-State Analysis of the House Republican Budget

| | | Reductions to Mandatory Programs | | | | Reductions to Discretionary Programs | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|-------|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Medicare Part D donut hole | Pell Grants | | Medicaid | Title I | | | IDEA | Head Start | Social Services Block Grant | Child Care Block Grant | Job Training Grants (WIA/ES) | | Housing Choice Vouchers | STOP Violence Against Women |
| | ...XXXX seniors that have benefited from the closure of the Medicare Part D prescription drug donut hole would be affected. | ...students will receive XXXX less funding in Pell Grants. | ...XXXX fewer students will receive Pell Grants. | ...XXXX in Federal Medicaid funding for the State would be cut over the next decade due to the proposal to block grant Medicaid. In addition, \$792 billion more would be cut from Medicaid nationwide due to repeal of the ACA Medicaid expansion. | ...Title I would be unable to support the equivalent of roughly XXXX schools and XXXX disadvantaged students, potentially resulting in XXXX fewer teachers and aides with jobs. | | | ...XXXX fewer special education teachers, aides and other staff would be supported with federal funding. | ... XXXX fewer children would receive Head Start services. | ...XXXX less funding would be provided for the Social Services Block Grant. | ...XXXX children could lose access to child care, which is also essential for working parents to hold down a job. | ...XXXX fewer people would receive Training and Employment Services. | ...XXXX fewer people would receive Job Search Assistance.. | ...XXXX fewer low-income families would receive Housing Choice Vouchers. | ...XXXX fewer victims of domestic violence would be served through the STOP Violence Against Women Program. |
| | | Funding | Recipients | | Schools | Students | Staff | | | | | Workforce Investment Act | Employment Services | | |
| ALABAMA | 77,698 | \$ 61,500,000 | 12,540 | \$ 10,073,000,000 | 140 | 64,240 | 450 | 330 | 2,770 | \$ 26,057,000 | 1,120 | 15,200 | 33,500 | 3,453 | 992 |
| ALASKA | 2,564 | \$ 4,700,000 | 850 | \$ 2,379,000,000 | 30 | 5,090 | 60 | 70 | 320 | \$ 3,921,000 | 120 | 2,800 | 28,400 | 675 | 16 |
| ARIZONA | 76,760 | \$ 158,800,000 | 32,800 | \$ 16,506,000,000 | 180 | 50,620 | 650 | 380 | 2,690 | \$ 35,170,000 | 1,470 | 24,200 | 49,100 | 3,201 | 1,018 |
| ARKANSAS | 35,535 | \$ 32,000,000 | 6,730 | \$ 8,752,000,000 | 120 | 40,140 | 320 | 210 | 1,660 | \$ 15,940,000 | 740 | 9,900 | 20,900 | 1,802 | 578 |
| CALIFORNIA | 358,862 | \$ 246,700,000 | 51,350 | \$ 95,615,000,000 | 890 | 522,870 | 3,460 | 2,340 | 21,140 | \$ 204,493,000 | 6,590 | 175,300 | 313,200 | 62,872 | 1,315 |
| COLORADO | 43,232 | \$ 57,900,000 | 10,810 | \$ 7,580,000,000 | 110 | 33,960 | 350 | 310 | 1,780 | \$ 27,761,000 | 750 | 17,700 | 42,000 | 4,392 | 1,036 |
| CONNECTICUT | 53,556 | \$ 28,800,000 | 5,240 | \$ 9,590,000,000 | 80 | 17,160 | 250 | 240 | 1,300 | \$ 19,427,000 | 390 | 13,500 | 29,800 | 6,842 | 417 |
| DELAWARE | 20,975 | \$ 6,800,000 | 1,290 | \$ 2,631,000,000 | 10 | 7,010 | 60 | 70 | 340 | \$ 4,922,000 | 150 | 2,900 | 7,300 | 749 | 149 |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 2,619 | \$ 16,400,000 | 3,010 | \$ 4,659,000,000 | 20 | 6,430 | 60 | 30 | 620 | \$ 3,353,000 | 90 | 3,100 | 8,400 | 3,375 | 24 |
| FLORIDA | 290,401 | \$ 194,300,000 | 42,260 | \$ 31,339,000,000 | 290 | 211,020 | 1,710 | 1,190 | 6,920 | \$ 103,394,000 | 3,320 | 68,800 | 151,700 | 15,865 | 3,569 |
| GEORGIA | 118,742 | \$ 104,500,000 | 20,120 | \$ 17,375,000,000 | 220 | 142,590 | 1,010 | 660 | 4,380 | \$ 53,251,000 | 2,450 | 40,600 | 77,200 | 8,734 | 738 |
| GUAM | 236 | \$ 1,500,000 | 300 | \$ - | - | - | - | - | 50 | \$ 293,000 | 1,100 | 1,200 | - | 632 | - |
| HAWAII | 19,980 | \$ 9,200,000 | 1,810 | \$ 2,542,000,000 | 40 | 19,750 | 130 | 80 | 560 | \$ 7,459,000 | 210 | 3,100 | 9,200 | 2,048 | 43 |
| IDAHO | 15,963 | \$ 20,000,000 | 4,130 | \$ 3,538,000,000 | 60 | 13,080 | 130 | 110 | 600 | \$ 8,599,000 | 370 | 4,800 | 23,700 | 722 | 242 |
| ILLINOIS | 147,219 | \$ 134,500,000 | 27,260 | \$ 23,816,000,000 | 370 | 115,390 | 1,330 | 920 | 6,940 | \$ 69,820,000 | 2,070 | 55,600 | 109,700 | 15,639 | 4,450 |
| INDIANA | 111,613 | \$ 79,300,000 | 15,030 | \$ 15,761,000,000 | 140 | 35,970 | 550 | 460 | 2,540 | \$ 35,357,000 | 1,390 | 24,900 | 50,500 | 3,838 | 1,724 |
| IOWA | 46,339 | \$ 78,700,000 | 15,420 | \$ 6,498,000,000 | 110 | 17,010 | 200 | 210 | 1,310 | \$ 16,614,000 | 530 | 5,200 | 23,500 | 1,796 | 601 |
| KANSAS | 40,480 | \$ 29,100,000 | 5,690 | \$ 4,368,000,000 | 110 | 20,680 | 240 | 60 | 1,320 | \$ 15,578,000 | 560 | 6,900 | 21,700 | 1,173 | 660 |
| KENTUCKY | 82,261 | \$ 48,100,000 | 9,560 | \$ 11,755,000,000 | 120 | 58,840 | 460 | 290 | 2,770 | \$ 23,705,000 | 1,050 | 18,700 | 33,500 | 3,493 | 865 |
| LOUISIANA | 65,043 | \$ 43,200,000 | 9,030 | \$ 13,183,000,000 | 130 | 70,740 | 590 | 360 | 3,710 | \$ 24,820,000 | 1,070 | 13,500 | 31,900 | 6,616 | 2,058 |
| MAINE | 14,189 | \$ 10,800,000 | 2,160 | \$ 5,247,000,000 | 60 | 5,040 | 120 | 100 | 700 | \$ 7,206,000 | 190 | 4,500 | 14,100 | 1,597 | 288 |
| MARYLAND | 58,227 | \$ 47,900,000 | 8,850 | \$ 11,563,000,000 | 70 | 27,930 | 470 | 360 | 1,970 | \$ 31,621,000 | 730 | 17,300 | 46,900 | 9,501 | 1,706 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 67,514 | \$ 50,700,000 | 9,940 | \$ 19,455,000,000 | 170 | 50,440 | 480 | 500 | 2,710 | \$ 35,740,000 | 730 | 19,900 | 52,800 | 16,187 | 1,753 |
| MICHIGAN | 178,697 | \$ 97,900,000 | 19,540 | \$ 24,095,000,000 | 290 | 87,840 | 1,050 | 750 | 5,910 | \$ 53,582,000 | 1,860 | 43,000 | 83,800 | 6,451 | 2,440 |
| MINNESOTA | 59,373 | \$ 63,100,000 | 11,520 | \$ 11,964,000,000 | 140 | 28,310 | 340 | 340 | 1,850 | \$ 28,998,000 | 800 | 12,800 | 43,300 | 4,148 | 290 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 35,679 | \$ 38,300,000 | 8,510 | \$ 10,109,000,000 | 100 | 52,880 | 350 | 230 | 3,980 | \$ 16,160,000 | 860 | 13,300 | 22,300 | 2,580 | 665 |
| MISSOURI | 89,781 | \$ 67,700,000 | 13,040 | \$ 16,028,000,000 | 180 | 51,330 | 500 | 400 | 3,070 | \$ 32,610,000 | 1,130 | 18,400 | 46,800 | 4,515 | 1,709 |
| MONTANA | 10,952 | \$ 8,600,000 | 1,780 | \$ 2,036,000,000 | 60 | 5,290 | 60 | 70 | 530 | \$ 5,416,000 | 170 | 3,000 | 19,300 | 577 | 236 |
| NEBRASKA | 24,887 | \$ 17,100,000 | 3,180 | \$ 3,026,000,000 | 90 | 20,610 | 170 | 130 | 930 | \$ 9,997,000 | 350 | 2,900 | 22,100 | 1,251 | 666 |
| NEVADA | 26,924 | \$ 15,600,000 | 2,810 | \$ 3,267,000,000 | 30 | 27,380 | 260 | 140 | 660 | \$ 14,775,000 | 500 | 13,300 | 24,100 | 2,502 | 1,613 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 15,535 | \$ 8,700,000 | 1,560 | \$ 1,887,000,000 | 20 | 2,140 | 50 | 80 | 340 | \$ 7,152,000 | 140 | 2,900 | 10,400 | 1,536 | 164 |
| NEW JERSEY | 192,572 | \$ 67,200,000 | 13,640 | \$ 15,813,000,000 | 220 | 58,460 | 650 | 640 | 3,300 | \$ 47,858,000 | 1,080 | 38,400 | 75,300 | 13,191 | 4,055 |
| NEW MEXICO | 20,965 | \$ 24,500,000 | 4,760 | \$ 6,838,000,000 | 90 | 34,120 | 220 | 160 | 1,380 | \$ 11,297,000 | 520 | 6,700 | 21,700 | 1,366 | 246 |
| NEW YORK | 315,766 | \$ 163,100,000 | 32,860 | \$ 76,583,000,000 | 440 | 269,160 | 2,180 | 1,370 | 10,900 | \$ 105,606,000 | 2,660 | 77,000 | 151,500 | 43,423 | 4,499 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 148,788 | \$ 83,500,000 | 16,880 | \$ 23,027,000,000 | 200 | 93,570 | 890 | 640 | 3,790 | \$ 52,390,000 | 2,000 | 42,400 | 76,900 | 6,524 | 1,155 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 9,725 | \$ 5,300,000 | 1,070 | \$ 1,265,000,000 | 30 | 2,660 | 50 | 60 | 440 | \$ 3,711,000 | 100 | 2,700 | 19,700 | 570 | 62 |
| NORTHERN MARIANAS | 26 | \$ 400,000 | 80 | \$ - | - | - | - | - | 40 | \$ 59,000 | 60 | 60 | - | - | - |
| OHIO | 212,090 | \$ 110,300,000 | 22,620 | \$ 30,858,000,000 | 340 | 116,960 | 1,160 | 820 | 6,330 | \$ 62,636,000 | 2,070 | 37,300 | 93,300 | 10,411 | 2,732 |
| OKLAHOMA | 55,980 | \$ 37,700,000 | 7,480 | \$ 8,671,000,000 | 180 | 60,450 | 320 | 270 | 2,160 | \$ 20,570,000 | 680 | 8,900 | 25,400 | 2,371 | 424 |
| OREGON | 44,617 | \$ 45,800,000 | 8,950 | \$ 9,795,000,000 | 90 | 32,170 | 320 | 240 | 1,550 | \$ 21,006,000 | 680 | 15,400 | 32,000 | 4,072 | 1,058 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 261,793 | \$ 112,700,000 | 22,510 | \$ 33,230,000,000 | 270 | 92,030 | 1,150 | 790 | 5,780 | \$ 69,135,000 | 1,790 | 47,200 | 101,400 | 10,738 | 2,303 |
| PUERTO RICO | 85,428 | \$ 15,800,000 | 5,990 | \$ - | 180 | 62,970 | 750 | 230 | 6,140 | \$ 8,793,000 | 820 | 26,800 | 27,200 | 3,584 | 662 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 13,998 | \$ 12,700,000 | 2,440 | \$ 2,974,000,000 | 20 | 8,960 | 100 | 80 | 550 | \$ 5,704,000 | 140 | 5,000 | 9,700 | 1,597 | 413 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 63,245 | \$ 46,000,000 | 9,260 | \$ 9,740,000,000 | 80 | 40,650 | 440 | 100 | 2,190 | \$ 25,387,000 | 1,090 | 18,500 | 35,700 | 2,699 | 1,005 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 10,858 | \$ 10,800,000 | 2,020 | \$ 1,400,000,000 | 30 | 3,610 | 50 | 70 | 480 | \$ 4,471,000 | 150 | 2,700 | 18,200 | 529 | 388 |
| TENNESSEE | 95,463 | \$ 65,100,000 | 13,210 | \$ 16,838,000,000 | 180 | 94,030 | 580 | 440 | 3,030 | \$ 34,741,000 | 1,380 | 24,500 | 49,700 | 3,884 | 798 |
| TEXAS | 296,015 | \$ 214,900,000 | 44,260 | \$ 48,870,000,000 | 840 | 508,950 | 2,640 | 1,940 | 12,550 | \$ 139,295,000 | 6,410 | 75,500 | 188,700 | 19,508 | 6,098 |
| UTAH | 22,818 | \$ 44,100,000 | 8,720 | \$ 4,335,000,000 | 50 | 22,240 | 220 | 220 | 1,000 | \$ 15,284,000 | 730 | 5,400 | 25,200 | 1,316 | 1,464 |
| VERMONT | 7,335 | \$ 4,600,000 | 900 | \$ 2,393,000,000 | 20 | 5,280 | 50 | 60 | 330 | \$ 3,399,000 | 80 | 2,700 | 8,500 | 944 | 71 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | 552 | \$ 600,000 | 120 | \$ - | - | - | - | - | 210 | \$ 293,000 | 900 | - | 5,100 | 272 | - |
| VIRGINIA | 93,908 | \$ 74,600,000 | 14,490 | \$ 10,967,000,000 | 130 | 39,530 | 550 | 520 | 2,540 | \$ 43,927,000 | 1,140 | 19,000 | 60,600 | 7,306 | 2,296 |
| WASHINGTON | 60,962 | \$ 51,200,000 | 10,040 | \$ 11,980,000,000 | 150 | 34,170 | 490 | 420 | 2,590 | \$ 37,055,000 | 1,030 | 23,200 | 54,400 | 7,943 | 974 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 39,210 | \$ 26,500,000 | 5,070 | \$ 6,419,000,000 | 60 | 20,200 | 190 | 140 | 1,280 | \$ 10,066,000 | 370 | 6,000 | 20,800 | 1,208 | 687 |
| WISCONSIN | 75,408 | \$ 48,200,000 | 9,150 | \$ 12,494,000,000 | 190 | 42,150 | 450 | 380 | 2,320 | \$ 30,988,000 | 940 | 18,100 | 46,500 | 2,857 | 703 |
| WYOMING | 6,150 | \$ 4,800,000 | 950 | \$ 875,000,000 | 20 | 2,290 | 50 | 60 | 300 | \$ 3,082,000 | 80 | 2,700 | 14,100 | 242 | 132 |

Notes on methodology

- All Discretionary Programs - Reductions to discretionary programs are determined by calculating the percentage reduction from the non-defense budget authority provided in the President's Budget for FY 2016 (with slight adjustments for comparability, \$530 billion) to the budget authority provided under the House Republican Budget in the same year (\$450 billion). This results in an overall reduction in non-defense discretionary budget authority of 15% compared to the levels proposed by the President. Given that the House Republican Budget does not specify how these reductions would be achieved, this 15% reduction is applied across-the-board to current programmatic levels to show illustrative differences that could occur within a few years.
- Medicare Part D - Calculations reflect the number of seniors that received discounts on prescription drugs in 2013 due to the closure of the Part D donut hole.
- Pell Grants - Pell Grant numbers were calculated using the current 2014 policies compared to the 2015 defined program parameters in the House Budget Resolution.
- Medicaid - Reductions are based on applying a 20% reduction to CBO's total Medicaid spending projection under current law from 2015-2024, and then dividing the reductions by state according to each state's share of nationwide spending in FY 2013. Of note, reductions do not include additional Medicaid funding that would be denied to states due to the repeal of the Medicaid expansion under the Affordable Care Act.
- Head Start - Reductions reflect the estimated children who would not receive Head Start and Early Head Start services, which is higher than reduction of full-year slots from the program due to turnover. State-by-state estimates are based on national average cost per child, blended across Head Start and Early Head Start.
- Child Care Block Grant - Estimates are derived from historic funding levels and the average program unit cost. This table only shows funding from CCDBG (i.e., CCDF Discretionary) and does not include CCDF Mandatory or Matching funding.
- Job Training Grants - Estimates assume the same formula allocation for each state as in 2014. The projected decrease in participants for each state was derived by applying the percentage reduction in funding for each state to the national projected reduction in the number of participants served. Some participants in the Employment Services program may also co-enroll in WIA programs, and therefore numbers cannot be summed across WIA and ES.